

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/954,713 | 09/18/2001 | Seiji Ishikawa | 782_183 | 7609 |
| 25191 | 10/03/2003 | EXAMINER | | INER |
| BURR & BROWN PO BOX 7068 | | | KWOK, HELEN C | |
| SYRACUSE, NY 13261-7068 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2856 | - |

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 3 | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| . Advisory Action | 09/954,713 | ISHIKAWA ET AL. | | | |
| , | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Helen C. Kwok | 2856 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ('condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh | cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | <u>:PLY</u> [check either a) or b)] | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1, sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in | of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | | | |
| (a) \square they raise new issues that would require furth | er consideration and/or search | (see NOTE below); | | | |
| (b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note | below); | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by ma | terially reducing or simplifying the | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance | ling a corresponding number of | finally rejected claims. | | | |
| NOTE: | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | ction(s): | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>9-16 and 18-</u> amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) | | ted in a separate, timely filed | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _ | | sidered but does NOT place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | f to issues which were newly | | | |
| For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: 9-16 and 18-21. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: 2-7. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| . ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | |
| 10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> | | HELEN KWOK PRIMARY EXAMINER | | | |
| | | Jold Kinh | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) Continuation of 10. Other: It should be noted that claim 1 is still rejectable over the art used in the art rejection in the last Office Action (Asano et al. and Otani). However, if Applicant considers incorporating claim 2 into claim 1, hence, claim 1 will be allowable and any other claims depending on claim 1 will also be allowable.